

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/929,371	0	8/13/2001	Fred H. Burbank	9619-1001 2284	
7.	590	10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Edward J. Lynch				SZMAL, BRIAN SCOTT	
Duane Morris I	LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Market	- 2000				TATER NOMBER
Spear Tower Ste 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105				3736	
SAN FRANCI	sco, c	A 94103		DATE MAILED: 10/11/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				6
	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/929,371	BURBANK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Brian Szmal	3736	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX (in the cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed B) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	<u>ugust 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 17-25,27,28,30,32,33 and 40-69 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 17-25,27,30,32 and 40-69 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) 28 and 33 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration	n.	
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		ed to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		=·· •	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) [a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document plication from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)	,		
	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 6/22/06/7/13/06/8/23/06	5) 🔲 Noti	ce of Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 09/929,371 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 17-23, 25, 27, 30, 32, 48-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Patterson et al (5,941,869).

Patterson et al disclose a means for removal of stenotic material and further disclose an elongated shaft, a longitudinal axis; an electrosurgical cutting element on a distal portion of the shaft which is configured to receive RF energy from a source thereof for severing a specimen by rotating about the longitudinal axis to create a peripheral boundary about the tissue specimen, at least one encapsulating element that is secured to the distal portion of the shaft which is configured to encapsulate the severed specimen as the elongated shaft is rotated about the longitudinal axis, so that the severed specimen can be withdrawn from the patient's body; the encapsulating element comprises a band or a plurality of bands which is/are actuatable between a retracted position and an extended position; the electrosurgical cutting element comprises one of the encapsulating elements; the electrosurgical cutting element is axially aligned and actuatable between a retracted position and an extended position, and rotatable about the axis in the extended position to isolate the specimen from the surrounding tissue at the target site

Application/Control Number: 09/929,371

Art Unit: 3736

by defining a peripheral margin about the specimen; a sheath that is axially movable between the distal and proximal positions for selectively covering and uncovering the encapsulating element; the tubular member is formed of a polymeric material; advancing the instrument to the target site; radially expanding the electrosurgical cutting element away from the elongated shaft; rotating the radially extended arcuate shaped electrosurgical cutting element to cut a specimen for the surrounding tissue; encapsulating the specimen as the extended cutting element is rotated about the axis; removing the encapsulated specimen and instrument from the body; and an RF electrosurgical cutting element. See Figures 2, 3, 7, 11, 12, 33-39; Column 13, lines 2-15 and 46-60; Column 18, lines 64-67; Column 19, lines 1-7; and Column 27, lines 4-49.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 24 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patterson et al (5,941,869) as applied to claims 23 and 57 above, and further in view of Kieturakis (5,794,626).

Patterson et al, as discussed above, disclose a means for removing matter from the body, but fail to disclose twisting the bands of the encapsulating assembly to encapsulate the specimen.

Application/Control Number: 09/929,371

Art Unit: 3736

Kieturakis discloses an excisional stereotactic device and further discloses twisting the bands of the encapsulating assembly to encapsulate the specimen. See Figure 14; and Column 9, lines 6-10.

Since both Patterson et al and Kieturakis disclose means for removing matter from the body, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the means of Patterson et al to include rotation of the encapsulating elements to encapsulate the matter, as per the teachings of Kieturakis, since it would provide a means of ensnaring the severed matter and ensuring the severed matter is removed from the target site.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 28 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed January 3, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicants argue Patterson et al (5,941,869) disclose the use of electrical energy to assist in the removal of the tissue, but fails to disclose the use of RF energy to form a peripheral boundary around the tissue specimen prior to removing the tissue specimen. The Examiner respectfully traverses this argument, because the disclosure

Application/Control Number: 09/929,371

Art Unit: 3736

of electrical energy, within the electrosurgical art, broadly encompasses RF energy as well as microwave energy. Patterson et al also clearly discloses the forming of a peripheral boundary around the tissue specimen prior to removal, as can be seen in Figures 34-39. As the expandable elements are expanded towards the stent, they reach an outer periphery as defined by the stent and then the elements are rotated about this periphery to sever the tissue specimen from the surrounding tissue, thereby creating a peripheral boundary about the tissue specimen prior to removal.

Therefore, Patterson et al still anticipate the current claims and the previous rejection stands.

Conclusion

This is an RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/929,371. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Szmal whose telephone number is (571) 272-4733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, with Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A

MAX F. WINDENBURG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER (DOWNO) OGY CENTER 3700